## <u>WHY HARMLESS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CHARGES MUST BE DISMISSED,</u> "In the Interest of Justice" ('with prejudice'), and why Public Servants who 'make or enforce' or even 'neglect to prevent' them ARE PERSONALLY Liable:

The 'fundamental principles' of 'Law': When stopped by Police, 'We the People' of 'the United States of America' need ONLY 'state... true full name'[1], or submit to 'lawful' search warrants from unbiased Judges and 'lawful' 'arrest', and ONLY WHEN THERE IS 'PROBABLE' EVIDENCE to accuse us of an actual 'crime'[2], WHICH REQUIRES ALL THREE 'Elements'[3]: 1) 'injury or harm' to another Humans equal[4] 'individual rights', 2) CAUSED BY 'intent or inexcusable neglect' of the accused/suspect ('Mens Rea'), AND 3) violation of a VALID[5] criminal 'Law' ('Actus Reus'). NOT JUST DISOBEDIENCE TO ORDERS OR LEGISLATION FROM OUR HIRED PUBLIC SERVANTS! THAT IS EXACTLY THE OPPOSITE OF THE VERY PURPOSE OF THIS 'GOVERNMENT'[6] and 'the supreme Law of the Land': "to...establish Justice...and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity"! That is 'deprivation of rights under color of law'![7]

"Governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, and are established to protect and maintain individual rights." & "A frequent recurrence to fundamental principles is essential to the security of individual rights and the perpetuity of free government."[6] (Az.Const)

We only need to show a 'license' when exercising an actual 'privilege', such as to 'drive' 'people or property for hire' on public roads[8] (which requires a 'CDL', which IS a 'lawful' 'regulation'), but we DO have a 'right to free travel' on public roads for common personal needs, 'in the conveyance of the day', WITHOUT any 'license' or 'tag'![8]

Therefore, without 'probable' evidence[2] to accuse us of an actual 'crime'[3] against another Humans equal[4] 'individual rights'[6], ANY 'searches and seizures'[2] ARE 'unlawful'[5,7], and 'regulations' such as 'driving licenses'[8] and 'civil offenses', and ALL 'victimless crimes'[3], are JUST NOT enforceable, and ARE 'deprivation of rights under color of law'![7] (Potentially even 'treason to the Constitution', for making 'war' against it, giving aid or confort to or adhering to those who do, and for taking 'jurisdiction' not given, refusing that which is![9]).

ALL PUBLIC SERVANTS ARE PERSONALLY LIABLE[10], and 'not entitled to immunity', when they 'make or enforce'[5], or even 'neglect to prevent'[7], 'any Thing' they 'reasonably should know'[11] IS 'to the Contrary'[5] of these 'clearly established'[11] 'fundamental principles'[6] of 'the common law', which ARE 'the supreme Law of the Land'[12] under the U.S. Constitution (See Amend.7), so ESPECIALLY if they wont even look at this, or listen to your 'lawful orders'!

All public officers in U.S. must voluntarily obligate themselves by signing a contract and swearing an oath to 'support' the 'Constitution for the United States of America'[10], and ONLY 'Laws made in Pursuance thereof', which are 'the supreme Law of the Land'[5], and to defend it against all enemies, foreign and domestic[10] (As required by Article 6, thereof).

## FAILURE TO RESPOND PROPERLY WITHIN THE REASONABLE TIME GIVEN RESULTS IN ADMISSION OF GUILT& RESPONSIBILITY AND A WAIVER OF RIGHTS TO DISPUTE CIVIL CLAIMS AFTERWARD IN COURT!

"Effect of Failing to Deny. An allegation—other than one relating to the amount of damages—is admitted if a responsive pleading is required and the allegation is not denied." (Rule 8 in U.S. and Az. Civil Procedure)

All this 'defendant' need do, if this Judge and/or Prosecutor neither dismiss this/these Harmless 'offense(s)' OR PROVE these legal arguments incorrect, is to file civil suit with the local Federal or State Court and/or criminal charges under the 'Law of the Land' (such as USC 42-1983 for Civil Suit for 'deprivation of rights under color of law', 42-1986 for 'neglect to prevent', and/or 18-242 for criminal charges, 18-241 for 'conspiracy' to commit the same, 18-2381 for 'treason', etc.), and/or file 'Judicial Conduct' complaints for violations of 'Judicial Procedure' rules, and this Judge and/or Prosecutor(s) may end up losing their Carreer and even their Freedom, for their 'inexcusable neglect' to fulfill their 'DUTY' 'to protect and maintain [equal] individual [Human] rights' ABOVE ALL!

## **Notes:**

The US Supreme Court HAS ruled that when NOT seeking any 'Loss of Liberty', Courts DONT need to provide 'trial by an impartial jury' or 'Assistance of Counsel' as Amend.6 REQUIRES ''In all criminal prosecutions"! NO EXCEPTIONS! This is clearly 'deprivation of rights under color of law' too!

According to "The Interests of Justice", aka "the Law of Equity", RIGHT FROM THE INITIAL STOP (and any resulting 'penalties') THERE IS CLEAR AND MEASURABLE 'HARM'/'LOSS'/INFRINGEMENT upon ones 'individual rights' (to 'Liberty', 'the Pursuit of Happiness' in General, the right to 'Privacy', 'Property', and 'Free Travel', to summarize our basic 'rights'), so harmless civil and criminal 'offenses' CANT be 'just' or therefore 'lawful'!

**IF THE COURT SAYS you cant 'represent' yourself** and only a lawyer can speak for you in court,

**you say**: You need to make a statement of law <u>on the public record</u>, so they CANT ignore you and MUST respond <u>on the public record</u>, and explain WHY this judge and prosecution WILL Get sued and maybe even jailed if they dont listen and comply with THESE 'Lawful Orders'! (Or PROVE you wrong, <u>on the public record!</u>).

## **REFERENCES:**

- [1]='state...true full name', right to privacy, (ARS 13-2412, & 'right to privacy', US.Supr.Ct.);
- [2]='probable cause' for all 'searches and seizures' MEANS of an actual 'crime' (4th,Amend,US,Supr,Ct):
- [3]=<u>THREE 'elements' to a 'crime</u>', (See 'Corpus Delicti doctrine',US.Supr.Ct);
- [4]=Govt. Must protect <u>equal</u>, <u>individual Human rights</u>. (Preamble&14th.Amend.,US.Const, &'common law'; Az.Const.Art.2,Sec.1&2);
- [5]='Colorable law': 'No State shall make or enforce' 'any Thing...to the Contrary' of these 'fundamental principles' of 'the common law' and ONLY "Laws...made in Pursuance therof', which ARE 'the supreme Law of the Land' in the U.S.. (14th.amend, Art.6, Az.Const.Art.2,Sec.2, Amend.7. FYI 'State' means same as 'Nation', so this applies to ALL Federal and State agencies/individual 'public servants'!);
- [6]='Purpose of government': "establish Justice...secure the Blessings of Liberty" (See US.Const.Premble). "to protect and maintain individual rights." (Az.Const.,Art.2,Sec.1&2);
- [7]='<u>Deprivation of rights under color of law</u>', and '<u>neglect to prevent</u>' the same. (See USC 42-1983 &1986, 18-242 &241, etc.);
- [8]='Right to Free Travel'. (US.Supr.Ct);
- [9]='treason to the constitution'. (See 'Cohens v. Virginia', USC 18-2381, US.Const.Art.3,Sec.3);
- [10]=Public Servants 'Liability', 'oath of office' & Contract to 'support' and defend US.Const and ONLY 'Laws made in Pursuance thereof'. (See US.Const.Art.6, ARS 38-231);
- [11]=Individuals are NOT 'entitled to immunity' from liability (civil or criminal) when they 'reasonably should know' what they do is NOT 'lawful', 'Contrary' to these 'clearly established' 'fundamental principles' of 'the common law' which are 'the supreme Law of the Land'! (US.Supr.Ct);
- [12]='the common law' has the FINAL say in what is the 'Law of the Land': "In Suits at common law... no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.". (US.Const.Amend.7);

**SEE:** usconstitution.net; azleg.gov/const/arizona\_constitution.pdf; azleg.gov/arsDetail/?title=13; govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Index; law.cornell.edu/wex/criminal\_procedure; uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/rules-of-criminal-procedure.pdf; law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp; law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18;

[Also See 'LawDocs' 'For Police Encounters', 'Fundamental Principles' of 'Law', 'Justice System, Basic Rules', 'Right to Free Travel', 'Notice of Claim of Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law', and more to come, @ www.GovernPublicServants.com, FREE, with Clickable Links to 'sources of authority'. SO POLICE CAN CHECK THESE FACTS WITH JUST A COUPLE CLICKS ON THE INTERNET, SO THERE IS NO EXCUSE FOR NOT COMPLYING WITH YOUR 'LAWFUL ORDERS', AND THEY CAN BE HELD PERSONALLY LIABLE FOR EVEN 'NEGLECT TO PREVENT' 'any Thing' 'to the Contrary' OF THESE 'fundamental principles' OF 'the supreme Law of the Land'!]